

Remarks/Arguments

This case has been reviewed and analyzed in view of the Official Action dated 30 August 2004. In the Official Action, the Examiner has found six inventions, namely Species I directed to Fig. 1 drawn to a networked system; Species II directed to Fig. 3 drawn to a flowchart illustrating a process of concealing errors in a video bitstream; Species III directed to Fig. 5 drawn to a flowchart illustrating a process of adaptively concealing errors in a video bitstream; Group IV directed to Fig. 6 drawn to a flowchart illustrating a process that can use weighted predictions to compensate for errors in a video bit stream; Group V directed to Fig. 9 drawn to a flowchart illustrating a process of partial RLVC decoding of DCT portions of corrupted packets; and Species VI directed to Fig. 19 drawn to a flowchart illustrating a process of decoding systematically encoded FEC data in a video bitstream. The Examiner has required that Applicant chose one Species for further prosecution in this case.

By this Amendment and Response, Applicant has elected Species II for further prosecution. Applicant further believes that Claims 8-21 read on Species II.


Additionally, Applicant has canceled Claim 1-7 from this application.

MR1035-1498
Appln. No. 10/092,376
Reply to Office Action dated 8/30/2004

The undersigned attorney was made aware of the Official Action dated 30 August 2004, by Applicant. In fact, the undersigned attorney filed a Change of Correspondence Address Form on 19 July 2004 along with the Power of Attorney. The Power of Attorney was properly entered, however, the Change of Correspondence Address should also have been entered. The undersigned attorney spoke to the Examiner in this case who indicated that the Power of Attorney had been entered but he did not know what happened with the Change of Correspondence and did not understand why the Official Action was sent to the previous attorneys. The undersigned attorney respectfully request that the Examiner follow through on this matter and when a further Official Action is to be sent that it is sent to the undersigned attorney.

It is now believed that the subject patent application has been placed in condition for examination and such action is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,
FOR: ROSENBERG, KLEIN & LEE


Morton J. Rosenberg
Registration No. 26,049

Dated: *24 Sept 2004*

Suite 101
3458 Ellicott Center Drive
Ellicott City, MD 21043
Tel: 410-465-6678

Customer No.
04586